

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/714,370	11/14/2003	Sheri L. McGuire	3788	1852
22474	7590 03/09/2006		EXAMINER	
DOUGHERTY CLEMENTS		SPERTY, ARDEN B		
1901 ROXBO	ROUGH ROAD			
SUITE 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHARLOTTE NC 28211			177)	

DATE MAILED: 03/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
W1714	v 37 <i>0</i>		EXAMINER	
- ((, ,			ART UNIT	PAPER
				20060303
			DATE MAILED:	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The reply filed on 12/12/03 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): Firstly, Applicant did not address the 35 USC 112, second paragraph, rejection of claim language reciting a broad limitation followed by a narrow limitation. See paragraph 16 of the previous office action. Secondly, Applicant has not addressed the Double Patenting rejection presented in the previous office action. See 37 CFR 1.111. Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be bona fide, applicant is given ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).

The response contains additional errors as follows: The amendments to claims 13 and 14 do not coincide with page 7 of Applicant's response. In other words, claims 13 and 14 have not been amended to read as indicated on page 7 of the response. Claims 13 and 14 should be corrected to reflect the language set forth on page 7 of the present response.

PRIMA